

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/838,329	04/20/2001	Keizo Ohnishi	0965-0350P	1030	
2292	7590 10/07/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	EWART KOLASCH &	MICHALSK	MICHALSKI, JUSTIN I		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2644		
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/838,329	OHNISHI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Justin Michalski	2644		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilled to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-21 is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>	n from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-13 in the reply filed on 25 August is acknowledged. The Office notes the applicants have made no arguments regarding the traversal of the election requirement.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 3 and 8, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsumoto et al. (Hereinafter "Matsumoto") (JP405257484A) in view of Shima et al. (Hereinafter "Shima") (US Patent 6,006,858).

Regarding Claim 1, Matsumoto discloses an active acoustic control cell, disposed on an upper end surface of a noise insulation wall, for controlling a coming noise such that a diffracted sound pressure component of the coming noise at the upper end surface is actively reduced (Fig. 2). Matsumoto does not disclose one sound tube which is nearly ¼ of a wavelength, the sound tube on a side of the active acoustic control cell facing a sound source to be subjected to sound reduction. Shima discloses a sound insulation wall with a tube which is ¼ a wavelength to cancel resonance frequencies (Col. 5, lines 23-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a sound tube of 1/4 a wavelength to cancel resonance frequencies and further reduce noise.

Regarding Claims 2 and 3, Matsumoto discloses an active acoustic control cell, disposed on an upper end surface of a noise insulation wall, for controlling a coming noise such that a diffracted sound pressure component of the coming noise at the upper end surface is actively reduced (Fig. 2). Matsumoto does not disclose one sound tube which is nearly ¼ of a wavelength, the sound tube on a side of the active acoustic control cell facing a sound source to be subjected to sound reduction and a sound absorption material or an acoustic resistor. Shima discloses a sound insulation wall with a tube which is 1/4 a wavelength to cancel resonance frequencies (Col. 5, lines 23-25). Shima further discloses sound absorbing material (i.e. acoustic resistor) (Col. 3,

lines 1-6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a sound tube of ¼ a wavelength to cancel resonance frequencies and further reduce noise.

Regarding Claim 4, Matsumoto discloses an active acoustic control cell, disposed on an upper end surface of a noise insulation wall, for controlling a coming noise such that a diffracted sound pressure component of the coming noise at the upper end surface is actively reduced (Fig. 2). Matsumoto does not disclose one sound tube which is nearly ¼ of a wavelength, the sound tube on a side of the active acoustic control cell facing a sound source to be subjected to sound reduction and an acoustic resonator. Shima discloses a sound insulation wall with a tube which is a counter-resonator of ¼ a wavelength to cancel resonance frequencies (Col. 5, lines 23-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a sound tube of ¼ a wavelength to cancel resonance frequencies and further reduce noise.

Regarding Claim 5, Matsumoto discloses an active acoustic control cell, disposed on an upper end surface of a noise insulation wall, for controlling a coming noise such that a diffracted sound pressure component of the coming noise at the upper end surface is actively reduced (Fig. 2). Matsumoto does not disclose an acoustic resonator, the resonator on a side of the active acoustic control cell facing a sound source to be subjected to sound reduction and an acoustic resonator. Shima discloses a sound a counter-resonator to cancel resonance frequencies (Col. 5, lines 23-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/838,329

Art Unit: 2644

invention was made to include an acoustic resonator to cancel resonance frequencies and further reduce noise.

Regarding Claim 6, Matsumoto discloses an active acoustic control cell. disposed on an upper end surface of a noise insulation wall, for controlling a coming noise such that a diffracted sound pressure component of the coming noise at the upper end surface is actively reduced (Fig. 2). Matsumoto does not disclose one sound tube which is nearly ¼ of a wavelength, the sound tube on a side of the active acoustic control cell facing a sound source to be subjected to sound reduction. Shima discloses a sound insulation wall with a tube which is ¼ a wavelength to cancel resonance frequencies (Col. 5, lines 23-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a sound tube of 1/4 a wavelength to cancel resonance frequencies and further reduce noise.

Regarding Claims 7 and 8, Matsumoto discloses an active acoustic control cell, disposed on an upper end surface of a noise insulation wall, for controlling a coming noise such that a diffracted sound pressure component of the coming noise at the upper end surface is actively reduced (Fig. 2). Matsumoto does not disclose one sound tube which is nearly ¼ of a wavelength, the sound tube on a side of the active acoustic control cell facing a sound source to be subjected to sound reduction and a sound absorption material or an acoustic resistor. Shima discloses a sound insulation wall with a tube which is 1/4 a wavelength to cancel resonance frequencies (Col. 5, lines 23-25). Shima further discloses sound absorbing material (i.e. acoustic resistor) (Col. 3. lines 1-6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

time the invention was made to include a sound tube of ¼ a wavelength to cancel resonance frequencies and further reduce noise.

Regarding Claim 9, Matsumoto discloses an active acoustic control cell, disposed on an upper end surface of a noise insulation wall, for controlling a coming noise such that a diffracted sound pressure component of the coming noise at the upper end surface is actively reduced (Fig. 2). Matsumoto does not disclose one sound tube which is nearly ¼ of a wavelength, the sound tube on a side of the active acoustic control cell facing a sound source to be subjected to sound reduction and an acoustic resonator. Shima discloses a sound insulation wall with a tube which is a counterresonator of ¼ a wavelength to cancel resonance frequencies (Col. 5, lines 23-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a sound tube of ¼ a wavelength to cancel resonance frequencies and further reduce noise.

Regarding Claim 10, Matsumoto discloses an active acoustic control cell, disposed on an upper end surface of a noise insulation wall, for controlling a coming noise such that a diffracted sound pressure component of the coming noise at the upper end surface is actively reduced (Fig. 2). Matsumoto does not disclose an acoustic resonator, the resonator on a side of the active acoustic control cell facing a sound source to be subjected to sound reduction and an acoustic resonator. Shima discloses a sound a counter-resonator to cancel resonance frequencies (Col. 5, lines 23-25). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to include an acoustic resonator to cancel resonance frequencies and further reduce noise.

5. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsumoto/Shima as applied to claims 1-10 above, and further in view of Masaharu (JP 09119114).

Matsumoto/Shima disclose a wall as stated apropos of claims 1-10 above but do not disclose a plurality of the active sound reduction apparatuses disposed in a row along a longitudinal direction of the wall. Masaharu discloses an active noise cancellation system with a wall comprising a plurality of apparatuses in a longitudinal direction (Fig. 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a plurality of apparatuses in a longitudinal direction in order to cancel sound for the entire length of the wall.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Nishimura (US Patent 6,041,125) discloses an active acoustic wall.

Yamamoto (US Patent 4,436,179) discloses a noise control apparatus for a wall.

Shima (US Patent 5,678,364) discloses a soundproof wall.

Application/Control Number: 09/838,329

Art Unit: 2644

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Justin Michalski whose telephone number is (571)272-

7524. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571)272-7848. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

September 30, 2005

JIM

VIVIAN CHIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 8